Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/564,604	KRAUETLER, BERNARD
	Examiner	Art Unit
	KATHERINE W. MITCHEL	L 3634
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative	e, PTO personnel):	
1) KATHERINE W. MITCHELL	(3)	
2) DAN GIBSON.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 26 May 2009.		
Type: a)☑ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conferen c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applic		lative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) [ If Yes, brief description:	Yes e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: 1.		
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Dubbleman</u> .		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was read	ched. g) was not reached. h)	⊠ N/A
Substance of Interview including description of the reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant request</u> indeed considered and in the applied art. Although Mithoell agreed to provide a supplemental action so	ted documentation that the newly this has been provided in an inte	-added limiation of claim 1 wa erview summary, examiner
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, who allowable is a validable of the standard must be	ere no copy of the amendments ti	

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (GeoMPEP Section 713.04) If a neby to the last Office action has already been filed. APPLICANT IS INTERVIEW DATE: OR THE MALE MAN GOATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE: OR THE MALE MAN GOATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MALE MAN GOATE OF THIS THE THE WARMARY FORM. WHICH SEVER IS LATER. TO

FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on triverse side of an affached sheet

/KATHERINE W MITCHELL/	
Supervisory Patent Evaminer	Art Limit 3634